



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,825	02/11/2000	Kiyoshi Miyazaki	1095.1120/JDH	5726
21171	7590 09/26/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			DASS, HARISH T	
SUITE'700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3693	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/502,825	MIYAZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Harish T. Dass	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju	ine 2006					
· = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	,					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
_ , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · — · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Driesity and at 25 H C C C 440						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		<u> </u>				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	•	in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' '	od.				
and an analysis asians a since action for a list	S. I.I.O OUT.III.OU OOPIOO HOL TOOOIVO	<u>.</u> .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/16/2006 has been entered.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 19-20 are cancelled.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-10, 12, 14, 16-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter et al (hereinafter Potter US 5,787,402) in view of Dian Hymer "Starting Out The Complete Home Buyer's Guide", Chronicle Books, San Francisco,

Application/Control Number: 09/502,825

Art Unit: 3693

1997 (hereinafter Hymer) and CyBerCorp "Trader's Workstation an Integrated Trading Environment version 1.7" dated 10/1998 hereinafter CyBerCorp.

Re. Claim 1, Potter discloses a order input unit permitting input of a order, the order being an order requesting, on condition of sale or purchase of first foreign currency (movable goods – currency can be moved from one bank/currency dealer to another) by customer A (see first party of previous action), purchase or sale of second foreign currency (movable goods) different from the first foreign currency (movable goods) by customer B (see second party of previous office action) [Figures 15-18, 24; C1 L5-L21; C13 L20-L50; C3 L1-L11; C7 L29-L43; C9 L65 to C10 L7; C13 L20-L50; C9 L38-L46]; an order detecting unit detecting an order for purchase or sale of the first foreign currency (movable goods) with respect to which the order is placed; and a order processing unit; responsive to the detection of a buy or sell order with respect to a predetermined order by said order detecting unit, for performing a selling or purchasing process with respect to the first foreign currency (movable goods) by said customer A [C3 L20-L29; C14 L54-L63; C3 L8-10; C14 L54-L63].

Potter does not explicitly disclose chain order and performing a purchasing or selling process with respect to the second foreign currency (movable goods) by said customer B. However, Hymer discloses performing a purchasing or selling process with respect to the second foreign currency (movable goods) by said customer B [see selected pages of chapter 8] where a purchasing order of a new house/property is conditioned on the sale of the old house (property) to get use the equity of the old house

Art Unit: 3693

for the down payment of the new house and CyberCorp discloses condition chain order and execution of the order based on another order (particularly page 32 – Alert Box – where a logical script shows that a trade can be made using computer script) to provide computer script for execution of conditional orders. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Potter and include performing a second execution which is an execution of the purchase order for the second type of foreign currency, where the chain purchase order is executed by being matched with a corresponding sell order for sale of the second type of foreign currency, as disclosed by Hymer, and chain order. As disclosed by CyberCorp, to allow individuals to place a buy order and a sell order conditionally linked (chained) together to use the value (money, equity, etc.) of the sell item to be used against the purchased item.

Re. Claim 3, Potter discloses display means for selectively displaying those of the chain orders which include a sell or buy order for the second type of foreign currency and which satisfy a condition for sale or purchase of the second type of foreign currency [Figure 15-18; C3 L25-L36; C7 L9-L19 – see client set-up; exchange rates are known to being tied (interlocked) to each others].

Re. Claim 5, Potter discloses price changing means for, responsive to a change in price of the first type of goods, automatically changing a price of the corresponding second

Application/Control Number: 09/502,825

Art Unit: 3693

type of goods of the chain order in an interlocked manner [C2 L17-L30 – see exchange rate and reflect in customer account].

Re. Claims 7-8, & 16-17 Potter discloses tax amount calculating means for calculating an amount of tax to be paid as-a result of the execution of the order [see rate calculator Figure 20, where it is well known that the tax can be calculated based on the tax rate using disclosed calculator]. Potter or Hymer does not explicitly disclose tax deferred exchange and calculating fee,; and notifying means for notifying parties concerned in the execution of the order of the amount of tax calculated by said tax amount calculating means and further comprising transfer means for automatically transferring a price and a tax payable as a result of the execution from one to another of accounts of parties concerned in the execution. However, it is well known to one skill in the art that taxes are calculated on taxable items (sale and trades) per IRS rules or local sale tax rules and paid regularly by consumers or tax on the sale of securities can be deferred until the end of the year. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures of Potter and Hymer and include deferred exchange and calculating fee, and notifying means for notifying parties concerned in the execution of the order of the amount of tax calculated by said tax amount calculating means and further comprising transfer means for automatically transferring a price and a tax payable as a result of the execution from one to another of accounts of parties concerned in the execution in order to allow the traders, an option, to pay the tax as part of trade or pay late when he/she files the tax return.

Art Unit: 3693

Re. Claims 9-10, 18 and 21, Claims 9-10, 18 and 21 are substantially similar to claim 1, therefore claims 9-10, 18 and 21 are rejected with same rational as claim 1.

Re. Claim 12, claim 12 is substantially similar to claim 3, therefore claim 12 is rejected with same rational as claim 3.

Re. Claim 14, claim 14 is substantially similar to claim 5, therefore claim 14 is rejected with same rational as claim 5.

Claims 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter in view of Hymer, as applies to claims 1 & 10, and further in view of Braddock, III (hereinafter Braddock – US 4,412,287).

Re. Claim 2, Potter discloses chain order storing means for storing the chain order input from said chain order input means, and chain order storing means, the chain order with respect to which the automatic execution has been completed by said chain order processing means [C3 L1-L10, L42-L45; C8 L61-L67; C13 L20-L67]. Potter or Hymer does not explicitly disclose deleting. However, Braddock discloses deleting [C3 L20-L25; C6 L65-L67; C31 L9-L25] to update the file (book or record) when an order is matched and passed through execution. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosures

Art Unit: 3693

of Potter and Hymer and include deleting the record, as disclosed by Braddock, to update the records.

Re. Claim 11, claim 11 is substantially similar to claim 2, therefore claim 11 is rejected with same rational as claim 2.

Claims 4, 6, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Potter in view of Hymer, as applies to claims 1, 3, 10 & 12, and further in view of Nymeyer (US 3,581,072).

Re. Claim 4, Potter or Hymer does not explicitly disclose wherein said display means inhibits display of information about a buy / sell order for the second type of foreign currency included in the chain order when the chain order is placed, and displays the information after the chain order is executed. However, Nymeyer discloses this step [see entire document particularly, Abs; C1 L1 to C2 L38; C11 L50 to C12 L46; C30 L19-L55]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's invention was made to modify the teaching of Potter and Hymer and include inhibiting displaying of information, as taught by Nymeyer, to control transfer of orders whenever there are no specific price order. Further the computer spread sheets are well known to one skill in the art where a user can selectively inhibits and/or protect any item (cell, row, column) from change or to hide the data from unauthorized user.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,825 Page 8

Art Unit: 3693

Re. Claim 13, claim 13 is substantially similar to claim 4, therefore claim 13 is rejected

with same rational as claim 4.

Re. Claim 6, Potter or Hymer does not explicitly disclose wherein said chain order

processing means performs chain order processing in series if an order placed with

respect to the second type of foreign currency to be transacted is a chain order.

However, Nymeyer discloses this step [Abs; C1 L1 to C2 L38; C19 L58 to C20 L23]. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the Applicant's

invention was made to modify the teaching of Potter & Hymer and include explicitly

chain order (swap) orders in series, as taught by Nymeyer, to proceed serially through

entire list of orders starting from # 1.

Re. Claim 15, claim 15 is substantially similar to claim 5, therefore claim 15 is rejected

with same rational as claim 6.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harish T. Dass whose telephone number is 571-272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Harish T Dass Examiner Art Unit 3693 Hanh TDm

Page 9

9/5/06